# Axion dark matter in high scale inflation scenario

KC, K.S. Jeong and M.S. Seo, arXiv:1404.3880 KC, E.J. Chun, S.H. Im, K.S. Jeong and S.H. Lim, in preparation

(Talk at IAS, HKUST, Jan 13, 2015)

Kiwoon Choi

The IBS Center for Theoretical Physics of the Universe



## Outline

\* Introduction

- \* Cosmological constraints on axion
- \* Axion dark matter with high scale inflation:

A model for diluting away the axion isocurvature perturbation produced during the primordial high scale inflation, while providing a right amount of axion dark matter:  $\Omega_{axion} = 0.24$ 

\* Conclusion

## Plenty of evidence for dark matter (DM)











### More plenty of candidates for dark matter



Roszkowski (2004)

There are in fact a lot more candidates (fuzzy DM, hidden photons, ultra-light axions ...) discussed in the literatures, and in principle  $m_{DM}$  can be anywhere between 10<sup>-31</sup> GeV and 10<sup>18</sup> GeV.

Which one is the better motivated candidate?

Axion is one of the few best motivated DM candidates.

Axion has been introduced to solve the strong CP problem:

CP violation in the SM:  $\frac{\theta_{QCD}}{32\pi^2}G^{a\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu} + (y_qH\bar{q}_Lq_R + h.c)$ 

 $\Rightarrow \quad \bar{\theta} = \theta_{QCD} + \operatorname{Arg} \cdot \operatorname{Det}(y_q)$  (CP violation in the strong interactions)

 $\delta_{\rm KM} \sim {\rm Arg}({\rm y}_{\rm q})$  (CP violation in the weak interactions)

Nearly maximal CP violation in the weak interactions  $\rightarrow \delta_{
m KM} \sim 1$ 

CP conserving strong interactions  $\rightarrow |\bar{\theta}| = |\theta_{QCD} + \operatorname{Arg} \cdot \operatorname{Det}(y_q)| < 10^{-10}$ (Neutron EDM:  $d_n \sim 10^{-16} \bar{\theta} \ e \cdot cm < 10^{-26} \ e \cdot cm$ )

Why  $|\theta_{QCD}$  + Arg Det(y<sub>q</sub>)| < 10<sup>-10</sup>, while  $\delta_{KM} \sim Arg(y_q) \sim 1$ ?

Anthrophic argument can not explain this puzzle, it is thus likely that there should exist some physical reason for the small value of  $\overline{\theta}$ .

## Axion solution of the strong CP problem

Introduce a spontaneously broken anomalous global U(1) symmetry (Peccei-Quinn symmetry)

- →  $\theta_{QCD}$  becomes a dynamical field "axion"
  - = Nambu-Goldstone boson of the spontaneously broken  $U(1)_{PO}$

$$\frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left( \theta_{\rm QCD} + {\rm Arg} \cdot {\rm Det}(y_{\rm q}) \right) {\rm G}^{{\rm a}\mu\nu} \tilde{{\rm G}}^{\rm a}_{\mu\nu} \ = \ \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{\left< {\rm a} \right>}{{\rm f}_{\rm a}} \, {\rm G}^{{\rm a}\mu\nu} \tilde{{\rm G}}^{\rm a}_{\mu\nu} \label{eq:G_alpha}$$

 $\mathbf{f}_{a}$  = Axion scale = Mass scale of the spontaneous breakdown of U(1)<sub>PQ</sub> (Axion decay constant)

Low energy QCD dynamics develops an axion potential minimized at <a > = 0:



→ QCD becomes CP conserving after the axion is settled down at its VEV. Most of axion physics is determined by the axion scale f<sub>a</sub>:

\* axion mass (at present) : 
$$m_a \sim 5 \times 10^{-6} \left( \frac{10^{12}\,{
m GeV}}{f_a} 
ight) \, {
m eV}$$

\* axion-photon couplings

$$\frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}}{2}a\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B} \quad : \quad g_{a\gamma\gamma} \, \sim \, 10^{-15} \left(\frac{10^{12}\,{\rm GeV}}{f_a}\right)\,{\rm GeV^{-1}}$$

\* axion-nucleon couplings

$$\mathrm{g_{aNN}a} ar{\mathrm{N}} \gamma_5 \mathrm{N}$$
 :  $\mathrm{g_{aNN}} \sim ~10^{-12} \left( rac{10^{12}\,\mathrm{GeV}}{\mathrm{f_a}} 
ight)$ 

Star cooling by axion emission:  $\mathbf{f}_a > 4 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV}$ 

→  $\tau_a \gg 10^{17}$  sec, so once axions were produced in the early universe, they constitute (part of) the DM in the present universe. Cosmological production of axion dark matter:

Misalignment + Topological defects (PQ-strings and domain walls)



Cold axion DM is a generic consequence of solving the strong CP problem with a PQ symmetry, but the question is whether the axion abundance can have a right value, i.e.  $\Omega_{axion} = 0.24$ 

## QCD axion has a good potential to be experimentally tested!



(Horns et al '12)

# Axion dark matter search with microwave cavity ADMX (Axion Dark Matter eXperiment) (Seattle, USA)

vs **CAPP** (Center for Axion and Precision Physics) (IBS/KAIST, Korea)



## Cosmological constraints on axions

Generically  $\mathbf{f}_{a}$  and  $\mathbf{m}_{a}$  can depend on some field variables (e.g. the Higgs fields, saxion, moduli, ...), and therefore can have nontrivial cosmological evolution from the inflation epoch to the present time:

 $\mathbf{t_{I}}$  = Primordial inflation epoch

 $t_{QCD}$  = QCD phase transition epoch with  $T(t_{QCD}) \sim 1 \; GeV$  when the axion DM are produced ( $m_a(t_{QCD}) \sim H(t_{QCD})$ )

 $t_0$  = Present

Depending on how  $f_a$  and  $m_a$  evolve from  $t_I$  to  $t_{QCD}$ , cosmological constraints on axions take a different form.

(We will always assume the standard cosmology from  $t_{QCD}$  to  $t_0$ .)

#### A particularly relevant question:

Was the PQ symmetry restored during the inflation epoch or not?

## Scenario A:

## PQ symmetry is non-linearly realized (spontaneously broken) during inflation, and never restored thereafter

There is no PQ-strings or domain walls within our horizon, but the axion field could have a nonzero misalignment together with a fluctuation produced during the inflation epoch:



$$\delta a(t_{I}) \, = \, \frac{H(t_{I})}{2\pi} \, = \, axion \ fluctuation \ generated \ during \ inflation$$

\* Relic axion dark matter: Preskill, Wise, Wilczek '83; Abbott , Sikivie '83; Dine, Fischler '83; ...

$$\Omega_{a}\,\sim\,0.2\,\langle\theta_{mis}^{2}\rangle\left(\frac{f_{a}(t_{0})}{10^{12}\,GeV}\right)^{7/6}\,\leq\,0.24$$

\* Axion isocurvature perturbation: Axenides et al '83; Turner et al '85; Fox et al '04; ...

Axion field fluctuation produced during the primordial inflation:

$$\delta \theta = rac{\delta \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{t_I})}{\mathbf{f_a}(\mathbf{t_I})} \sim rac{\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{t_I})}{2\pi \mathbf{f_a}(\mathbf{t_I})}$$

If this fluctuation survives until  $t_{QCD}$ , which is the case as long as  $m_a(t) \ll H(t)$  over  $t < t_{QCD}$ , it gives rise to an isocurvature perturbation of the axion dark matter:

Axion abundance in Scenario A is determined by two independent parameters,  $f_a(t_0)$  and  $\theta_{mis}$ , and severely constrained by the isocurvature bound depending on  $H(t_I)/f_a(t_I)$ .

### **Scenario B:**

#### The last spontaneous PQ breaking occurred after inflation

There are PQ -strings attached by  $N_{DW}$  domain walls, which cause cosmological domain wall problem unless  $N_{DW} = 1$ :



Axion domain-wall number =  $N_{DW} = \sum_{i} q_i Tr(T_c^2(\psi_i)) = 1$ 

There is no axion isocurvature perturbation, but axion dark matters can be produced by the collapsing string-wall networks with  $N_{DW} = 1$ ,

Davis '86; Davis, Harari, Sikivie '87; Davis, Shellard '89, ...

as well as by the coherent oscillation of misaligned axion field:

$$\Omega_{\rm a}\,\sim\,0.2\left(\langle\theta_{\rm mis}^2\rangle+R_{\rm defect}\right)\left(\frac{f_{\rm a}(t_0)}{10^{12}\,{\rm GeV}}\right)^{7/6}$$

Extensive numerical simulation  $\rightarrow$   $R_{defect} \sim 30$ 

Kawasaki et al, '14, Hiramatsu et al, '12, ...

→ Axions are produced mostly by the collapsing string-wall network!

$$egin{aligned} \Omega_{\mathbf{a}} &\sim 6 imes \left( rac{f_{\mathbf{a}}(t_0)}{10^{12}~{
m GeV}} 
ight)^{7/6} &\leq 0.24 \ &4 imes 10^8~{
m GeV} &\leq f_{\mathbf{a}}(t_0) &\leq 5 imes 10^{10}~{
m GeV} \end{aligned}$$

Axion abundance in Scenario B is determined by the single parameter  $f_a(t_0)$ , and the model is required to have  $N_{DW} = 1$ , which is an unlikely feature of the PQ symmetry obtained in top-down approach.

#### Scenario A and Scenario B are experimentally distinguishable!



**Different velocity dispersion?** 

A frequently used summary of the constraints, which applies only when  $f_a(t_I) \sim f_a(t_0), m_a(t) \ll H(t)$  for  $t < t_{QCD}, \& \Omega_a = \Omega_{DM}$  for Scenario A:



Constraints for more generic situation with

 $f_{\mathbf{a}}(t_{\mathbf{I}}) \neq f_{\mathbf{a}}(t_{0}), \ m_{\mathbf{a}}(t) \ll H(t) \ \text{for} \ t < t_{\mathbf{QCD}}, \ \& \ \Omega_{\mathbf{a}} \leq \Omega_{DM}$ 



For high scale inflation:  $H(t_I) \sim 10^{14}$ 



The PQ symmetry should be either restored (Scenario B), or spontaneously broken at much higher scale during inflation (Scenario A), regardless of the value of  $\Omega_a/\Omega_{DM}$ :  $f_a(t_I) = 0$  or  $f_a(t_I) \gg f_a(t_0)$  What would be the most probable parameter region for the QCD axion compatible with high scale inflation?

- \* For Scenario B (PQ symmetry restored during inflation), if one tries to get such a PQ symmetry from top-down approach, e.g. within the framework of string theory, usually one finds  $N_{DW} > 1$  which is not acceptable.
- \* For Scenario A (PQ symmetry spontaneously broken during inflation), the axion scale during inflation can not be arbitrarily high as the perturbative axion coupling can not be significantly weaker than the gravitational interaction:

(Weak gravity conjecture)

Arkani-Hamed, Motl, Nicolis, Vafa '07

$$\frac{g^2}{32\pi^2 f_a} a G \tilde{G} \quad \clubsuit \quad f_a(t_I) \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} M_{Pl}\right) \quad \clubsuit \quad f_a(t_I) \leq 10^{17} \, \mathrm{GeV}$$

\* Accept the tuning of  $\theta_0$  if there is an anthropic reasoning, but no more tuning than the one required by anthropic argument.

Axion DM in high scale inflation scenario compatible with the weak gravity conjecture (also with  $m_a(t) \ll H(t)$  for  $t < t_{\rm QCD}$ )



 $f_a(t_0) (GeV)$ 

#### A model for axion DM in high scale inflation scenario KC, Chun, Jeong, Im, in preparation

To avoid the isocurvature perturbation constraint on axion DM in high scale inflation scenario, we need an epoch with  $m_a(t) \ge H(t)$  between the primordial inflation and the conventional QCD phase transition.

#### A key theoretical question about the axion model:

\* What is the mechanism to determine the axion scale?

For  $\,f_a \ll \,M_{Pl}$  , PQ breaking triggered by SUSY breaking:

$$V(\phi) = -m_{SUSY}^2 |\phi|^2 + \frac{|\phi|^6}{M_{Pl}^2} + \dots \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_a \sim \langle \phi \rangle \sim \sqrt{m_{SUSY} M_{Pl}}$$

Such models can be easily embedded in string theory:

Shift symmetry which originates from higher-dim gauge symmetry

- + Anomalous U(1) gauge symmetry broken by the Stuckelberg mechanism
- → U(1)<sub>PQ</sub> which is unbroken in supersymmetric limit, and is well protected from quantum gravity effects.

Models of PQ breaking triggered by SUSY breaking (  $f_a \sim \sqrt{m_{SUSY} M_{Pl}}$  ) provides

\* an attractive solution of the  $\mu$ -problem Kim, Nilles '84

The bare Higgsino mass term ( $\mu$ -term) is forbidden by the PQ symmetry, and a right size of  $\mu \sim m_{SUSY}$  is generated by the spontaneous PQ breaking:

$$W = \frac{\phi^2 H_u H_d}{M_{Pl}} + ... \equiv \mu H_u H_d + ... \quad \twoheadrightarrow \quad \mu \sim \frac{f_a^2}{M_{Pl}} \sim m_{SUSY}$$

\* late thermal inflation (TI) diluting the undesirable primordial relics Lyth, Stewart '95



With this way to generate the  $\mu$ -term, the MSSM Higgs and/or sleptons can have an unusual cosmological evolution.

Cosmological evolution of the MSSM flat directions  $H_uH_d \& LH_u$  can severely depend on how the  $\mu$ -term is generated:



- → \* Higher EW scale, which gives rise to a higher QCD scale, and therefore a heavier axion mass during thermal inflation
  - \* Affleck-Dine type leptogenesis with  $LH_u$

A SUSY model for axion DM in high scale inflation scenario:

$$\begin{split} W &= y_u H_u Q U^c + y_d H_d Q D^c + y_\ell H_d L E^c \quad (MSSM) \\ &+ \frac{\tilde{\phi} \phi^3}{M_{Pl}} + \frac{\tilde{\phi}^2 H_u H_d}{M_{Pl}} + \frac{L H_u H_u H_d}{M_{Pl}} + \phi \Psi \Psi^c \ (PQ - sector) \ + \ Inflaton - sector \\ &U(1)_{PQ} : \ \phi \quad \tilde{\phi} \quad H_u \quad H_d \quad L, D^c \quad Q, U^c, E^c \\ & 1 \quad -3 \quad 0 \quad 6 \quad -6 \quad 0 \end{split}$$

Mass scales of the model:

\* Fundamental scale near the Planck scale  $M_{Pl}$ 

- \* Primordial inflation energy scale  ${\rm M}_{I}:~{\rm H}(t_{I})\sim {{\rm M}_{I}^{2}\over {\rm M}_{\rm P}}$
- \* SUSY breaking scale (at present)  $m_{SUSY}$

Induced scales:

- \* EW scale:  $\mathcal{V}_{EW} \sim \max(\sqrt{H_u H_d}, \sqrt{LH_u})$
- \* Axion scale:  $\mathbf{f_a} \sim \max(\phi, \tilde{\phi}, \sqrt{\mathbf{H_uH_d}}, \sqrt{\mathbf{LH_u}})$

\* QCD scales:  $\Lambda_{\rm QCD} \sim 5 \ {\rm TeV} \left(\frac{m_{\rm SUSY}}{{\rm TeV}}\right)^{2/11} \left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\rm EW}}{10^{11} \ {\rm GeV}}\right)^{6/11} \quad {\rm for} \ \mathcal{V}_{\rm EW} > 10^5 \, {\rm m}_{\rm SUSY}$ 

Cosmological history of the model:

Effective potential of the PQ-charged flat directions  $X_i = \{\phi, \tilde{\phi}, \sqrt{H_uH_d}, \sqrt{LH_u}\}$ 

$$\begin{split} V_{eff} &= \sum_{i} \left| \frac{\partial W}{\partial X_{i}} \right|^{2} + \left( m_{i}^{2} + \xi_{i} \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle \right) |X_{i}|^{2} + \left( A_{i} X_{i} \frac{\partial W}{\partial X_{i}} + h.c \right) \\ & (m_{i} \, \sim \, A_{i} \, \sim \, m_{SUSY}, \quad \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle \, \sim \, H^{2}) \end{split}$$

Primordial inflation epoch:

$$\xi_{\mathbf{H_uH_d}, \mathbf{LH_u}} < 0, \quad \xi_{\phi, \tilde{\phi}} > 0$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \bigstar \quad \mathcal{V}_{EW}(t_I) \sim \sqrt{H_u H_d} \sim \sqrt{L H_u} \sim \sqrt{H(t_I) M_{Pl}} \sim 10^{16} \ \mathrm{GeV} \\ \\ f_a(t_I) \sim \sqrt{H_u H_d} \sim \sqrt{L H_u} \sim \sqrt{H(t_I) M_{Pl}} \sim 10^{16} \ \mathrm{GeV} \\ \\ \phi(t_I) = \tilde{\phi}(t_I) = 0 \\ \\ \\ \mu(t_I) = \frac{\tilde{\phi}^2(t_I)}{M_{Pl}} = 0 \end{array}$$

Thermal inflation epoch:

$$\begin{split} m_{H_uH_d,\,LH_u}^2 &\sim -m_{SUSY}^2 < 0 \\ m_{\phi}^2 &\sim -m_{SUSY}^2 + T^2 > 0, \quad m_{\phi}^2 \sim m_{SUSY}^2 > 0 \\ \hline & \checkmark \quad \mathcal{V}_{EW}(t_{TI}) \sim \sqrt{H_uH_d} \sim \sqrt{LH_u} \sim \sqrt{m_{SUSY}M_{PI}} \sim 10^{11} \; \mathrm{GeV} \\ f_a(t_{TI}) \sim \sqrt{H_uH_d} \sim \sqrt{LH_u} \sim \sqrt{m_{SUSY}M_{PI}} \sim 10^{11} \; \mathrm{GeV} \\ \phi(t_{TI}) &= \tilde{\phi}(t_{TI}) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu(t_{TI}) = \frac{\tilde{\phi}^2(t_{TI})}{M_{PI}} = 0 \\ \Lambda_{QCD}(t_{TI}) \sim 5 \; \mathrm{TeV} \left(\frac{m_{SUSY}}{TeV}\right)^{2/11} \left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{EW}}{10^{11} \; \mathrm{GeV}}\right)^{6/11} \\ \frac{m_a(t_{TI})}{H(t_{TI})} \sim 10 \; \left(\frac{TeV}{m_{SUSY}}\right)^{9/11} \left(\frac{10^{11} \; \mathrm{GeV}}{f_a(t_{TI})}\right)^{16/11} > 1 \; \left(m_a(t_{TI}) \sim \frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^2(t_{TI})}{f_a(t_{TI})}\right)^{16/11} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

→ During the thermal inflation epoch, axion field is settled down at the minimum of its potential, effectively eliminating the primordial axion field fluctuations.

Present (or the QCD phase transition epoch):

$$\begin{split} m_{H_uH_d}^2 &\sim -m_{SUSY}^2 + 2|\mu|^2 > 0 \ , \quad m_{LH_u}^2 \sim -m_{SUSY}^2 + |\mu|^2 > 0 \\ m_{\phi}^2 &\sim -m_{SUSY}^2 < 0, \quad m_{\phi}^2 \sim m_{SUSY}^2 > 0 \\ f_a(t_0) &\sim \phi(t_0) \sim \tilde{\phi}(t_0) \sim \sqrt{m_{SUSY}M_{Pl}} \sim 10^{11} \ \mathrm{GeV} \\ & \left( \Delta V = A_\phi \frac{\tilde{\phi} \phi^3}{M_{Pl}} \ \mathrm{with} \ A_\phi \sim m_{SUSY} \right) \\ \mu(t_0) &= \frac{\tilde{\phi}^2(t_0)}{M_{Pl}} \sim m_{SUSY} \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{V}_{EW}(t_0)\,\sim\,m_{SUSY}$ 

**>** 

The minimum of the axion potential at present (making  $|\bar{\theta}| = 0$ ) differs from the minimum of the axion potential during the thermal inflation epoch!

The minimum of  $V_a(t_{TI})$  depends on the interaction  $\Delta V = A_{_{LH_u}} \frac{LH_uH_uH_d}{M_{Pl}}$ , while the minimum of  $V_a(t_0)$  depends on  $\Delta V = A_{\tilde{\phi}} \frac{\tilde{\phi}^2 H_u H_d}{M_{Pl}} \equiv B \mu H_u H_d$ .

→ O(1) misalignment of the axion field for  $\Omega_{\rm a} \sim 0.2 \, \theta_{\rm mis}^2 \left( \frac{f_{\rm a}}{10^{12} \, {\rm GeV}} \right)^{7/6} \simeq 0.24$  is produced during the thermal inflation epoch, while the primordial axion fluctuations are diluted away.

(The cosmological evolution of  $LH_u$  in this model successfully implements the AD leptogenesis after the thermal inflation is over.)

## Conclusion

\* Axion is one of the best motivated DM candidates:



 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Axion DM} \\ \mbox{from misalignment} \\ \Omega_{a} \, \sim \, 0.2 \, \langle \theta_{mis}^2 \rangle \left( \frac{f_{a}(t_0)}{10^{12} \, {\rm GeV}} \right)^{7/6} \\ \\ \mbox{f}_{a} \, \geq \, 3 \times 10^{11} \, \, {\rm GeV} \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Axion DM} \\ \mbox{from string-walls} \\ \Omega_{a} \sim 6 \times \left( \frac{f_{a}(t_{0})}{10^{12} \ {\rm GeV}} \right)^{7/6} \\ \mbox{f}_{a} \sim 5 \times 10^{10} \ {\rm GeV} \end{array}$ 

\* Within a conventional cosmological scenario with  $m_a(t) \ll H(t)$  over  $t < t_{QCD'}$  axion DM from misalignment is in conflict with high scale inflation:

Bound on axion isocurvature perturbation + Weak gravity conjecture



\* Axion DM from string-wall networks usually suffers from the domain wall problem.

- \* Within a theoretically well motivated framework which explains
  - Origin of the PQ symmetry which is unusually well protected from quantum gravity effects:

Shift symmetry from higher-dim gauge symmetry

- + Anomalous U(1) gauge symmetry
- Origin of the axion scale:

Spontaneous PQ breaking triggered by SUSY breaking

+ 
$$f_a \sim \sqrt{m_{SUSY} M_{Pl}} \sim 10^{11} \; {\rm GeV}$$

- Origin of  $\mu \sim m_{SUSY}$ :

The Higgs  $\mu$ -term generated as a consequence of PQ breaking  $\Rightarrow \quad \mu \sim \frac{f_a^2}{M_{Pl}} \sim m_{SUSY}$ 

a late thermal inflation with  $m_a(t_{TI}) > H(t_{TI})$  can be naturally realized, which dilutes away the primordial axion fluctuations, while producing an axion misalignment of O(1) necessary for  $\Omega_a \sim 0.2 \, \theta_{mis}^2 \left( \frac{f_a}{10^{12} \, {\rm GeV}} \right)^{7/6} \simeq 0.24$ 

#### \* This type of SUSY axion models predict

